



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/469,597	12/22/1999	JAMES E. ANGELO	S01.12-0543	5141

7590

06/19/2002

WESTMAN CHAMPLIN & KELLY PA SUITE 1600 INTERNATIONAL CENTRE 900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH MINNEAPOLIS, MN 554023319 EXAMINER KAPADIA, VARSHA A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2651

DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	/9			
Office Action Summary		09/469,597	•	ANGELO ET AL.	V			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Varsha A K		2651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE N Exter after If NO Failur Any n	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even ly within the statut will apply and will e. cause the applic	it, however, may a repl ory minimum of thirty (expire SIX (6) MONTH eation to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this com IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status	- 1	March 2002						
1)[\textsquare 1]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>			•				
2a)⊠	•	his action is r		C 4- 45-				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	on of Claims	_						
,	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from con	sideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
1	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	ii iin e		· · · · · ·				
1	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
	on Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
11)				approvod by the Examinor	•			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	n nciocity une	10r25115C &	119(a)-(d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen								
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>(</u>	<u>6</u> .		immary (PTO-413) Paper No(s ormal Patent Application (PTO				
U.S. Patent and T	rademark Office			Dort of	Paper No. 6			

Art Unit: 2651

This office action is responsive to the amendment filed on March 7, 2002.

Information Disclosure

Prior art submitted by the applicant on March 20, 2002 has been considered by the examiner.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-2, 7-8, 10-12, 14-15 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Evans et al.(5,862,015)

With regards to claims 1-2 and 19 Evans et al discloses a disc drive comprising:

A disc rotationally coupled to a chasis; a movable head suspension assembly...(see abstract,

fig.1 and disclosure thereof); a transducer... (see co.2 lines 17-50, figs. 1,3 and 8 element 10 and

disclosure thereof.) a detector...(see col.4 lines 55-col.5 line 12 and col.7 lines 41-52).

With regards to claims 12 and 14, the limitations are drawn to the method of using the disc drive apparatus recited in claims 1-2 and 19. Therefore method claims 12 and 14 correspond

Art Unit: 2651

to apparatus claims 1-2 and 19 and are rejected for the same reasons of anticipation as used above.

With regards to claims 7, Evans et al discloses a process controller coupled to the detector as claimed (see col.8 lines 35-46 and the paragraph bridging cols.6 and 7).

With regards to claim 15, the limitations are drawn to the method of using the disc drive apparatus recited in claims 7. Therefore method claim 15 correspond to apparatus claim 7 and are rejected for the same reasons of anticipation as used above.

With regards to claim 8, Evans et al discloses a microactuator controller coupled to the transducer as claimed (see col.4 lines 50-54)

With regards to claims 10 and 11, Evans et al discloses a transducer configured to operate between detection mode and actuation mode... (see col.5 lines 5-27).

With regards to claim 18, the limitations are drawn to the method of using the disc drive apparatus recited in claims 10-11. Therefore method claim 18 correspond to apparatus claims 10-11 and are rejected for the same reasons of anticipation as used above.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2651

Claims 3-4, 9, 16-17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans et al in view of Perry (3,688,287).

With regards to claims 3-4,16 and 20, Evans et al discloses the invention as described above in this office action. Evans et al further discloses the capability to detect at least one of a bending mode or torsion mode frequency (see col.4 lines 30-49 and col.6 lines 28-30). Evans et al fails to specify that the detector includes a frequency filter as claimed.

Perry, however discloses filter as claimed (see fig.1 element 30 and disclosure thereof).

It would have been obvious tone of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Evans et al with the above teachings from Perry in order to provide a detector including a frequency filter to differentiate the undesired frequency from the normal operating frequency and hence to prevent the damage between the head and the disc accurately, as taught by Perry (see col.4 lines 6-10)

With regards to claims 9 and 17, Perry further discloses a disc drive including a plurality of discs and plurality of heads as claimed.

It would have been obvious tone of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Evans et al with the above teachings from Perry in order to provide a disc drive having a plurality of disc and plurality of heads in order to satisfy the need for efficient disc drive and hence save time for the user.

Claims 5-6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans et al in view of Novotny (6,362,542).

Art Unit: 2651

With regards to claims 5-6 and 13, Evans et al discloses the invention as described above in this office action. Evans et al fails to specify that the transducer is a piezoelectric material or is an electrostatic transducer.

Novotny, however discloses that the transducer used to control the position of the head is a piezoelectric material or electrostatic (see col.1 lines 35-37).

It would have been obvious tone of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Evans et al with the above teachings from Novotny to provide a transducer that is piezoelectric or electrostatic since both are well known and widely used as an alternate material and hence to provide user with an alternate since no unexpected results are to occur.

Response to Remarks

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2651

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Varsha A Kapadia whose telephone number is (703) 305-4198. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 6:00 to 2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Hudspeth, can be reached on (703) 308 4825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

D

DAVID HUDSPETH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600